	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	Case No. 1-16-40809-ess
4	Adv. Case No. 1-17-01005-ess
5	x
6	In the Matter of:
7	
8	TASHANNA B. GOLDEN,
9	
10	Debtor.
11	x
12	TASHANNA B. GOLDEN,
13	Plaintiff,
14	v.
15	NATIONAL COLLEGIATE TRUST et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	x
18	
19	
20	United States Bankruptcy Court
21	271-C Cadman Plaza East
22	Brooklyn, NY 11201
23	
24	April 9, 2018
25	11:24 AM

```
Page 2
1
    BEFORE:
2
    HON ELIZABETH S. STONG
    U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
3
 4
5
    ECRO:
            FANNY RANDAZZO
 6
7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 121 1 MS. SIEG: The 523A --2 THE COURT: 523. The substantive -- right, the discharge statute. 3 MS. SIEG: Correct. And that issue is percolating up through the courts as it should in the normal course. 5 6 that when it does get to the Supreme Court it has the 7 benefit of all the circuits or as many as possible -- it has 8 the benefit of that issue being tested. 9 THE COURT: So what about this problem now? 10 Remember that at the pleading stage you take the allegations 11 as true. You think you're going to disprove them but I have to take them as true. And you're saying that we can't do 12 13 better than piecemeal litigation? That even with a federal 14 class action tool that the best we can do, assuming that 15 these allegations are true and that lenders were talking out 16 of both sides of their mouths, assuming the allegations are 17 true -- I'll say it once every 15 seconds for the avoidance 18 of doubt. Maybe. 19 And I quess this isn't about whether it feels like 20 the equitable result for the Lenders or the Debtors but I --21 I really wonder if that's how the Federal Court process 22 works. I'm not sure that it is. 23 MS. SIEG: Well, again, Your Honor, that's a 24 superiority element. We're not here asking you to make 25 rulings on that. We will be in here arguing that a class is